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# OBJECTIVE

Review the System Requirements Documents (SRD) for CAS, APT and SSBJ. After reviewing the SRD’s, investigate and document comparable aircraft by researching on-line, library or other sources to compare with the design task.

Document the various aircraft and important characteristics that make the aircraft comparable to the SRD. Also document the shortcomings of the aircraft to meet the requirement.

Document at least two aircraft per each SRD (total of 6 aircraft).

# SUMMARY

(this section is the author’s summary that answers the OBJECTIVE. It should be a clear and concise section that allows the reviewer to avoid reading the SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION below. This section is reviewed by program managers and customers to convey a final message, without getting into the weeds)

# SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

(This section contains the details that supports the SUMMARY. Your lead engineer, and often the chief engineer, is the reviewer of this section to ensure the technical content is correct. They will “sign-off” on the memo before it is released and disseminated to the program and customers. Include as many pages as required. To ensure minimum rework, this memo shall be written IAW the Statement of Work (SOW) and Report Content Requirements (RCR). In theory, this could be cut and pasted into the final report with no changes.)